Telephone:020 7587 3152Fax:020 7587 3210



Ref. T2-HES/4.2 T5-MEPC/1.01

MSC-MEPC.7/Circ.1 22 May 2006

CHECKLIST FOR CONSIDERING HUMAN ELEMENT ISSUES BY IMO BODIES

1 The Marine Environment Protection Committee at its fifty-third session (18 to 22 July 2005) and the Maritime Safety Committee at its eighty-first session (10 to 19 May 2006), agreed that, when developing and amending mandatory and non-mandatory IMO instruments related to safety, security and protection of the marine environment, proper consideration should be given to the human element and, in this context, a checklist to ensure that the human element has been addressed should be developed.

2 The Committees approved the checklist as set out in annex and further agreed that that this checklist should be completed by all relevant IMO bodies before approving or adopting amendments to mandatory and non-mandatory IMO instruments.

3 Member Governments are also encouraged to complete this checklist before submitting proposals for development or amendments to IMO instruments and submit a completed checklist accompanying relevant proposals.

ANNEX

CHECKLIST FOR CONSIDERING HUMAN ELEMENT ISSUES BY IMO BODIES

Instructions:

If the answer to any of the questions below is:

- (A) YES, the preparing body should provide supporting details and/or recommendation for further work.
- (B) NO, the preparing body should make proper justification as to why human element issues were not considered.
- (C) NA (Not Applicable) the preparing body should make proper justification as to why human element issues were not considered applicable.

Subject Being Assessed: (e.g. Resolution, Instrument, Circular being considered)

Responsible Body: (e.g. Committee, Sub-committee, Working Group, Correspondence Group, Member State)

1.	Was the human element considered during development or amendment process related to this subject?	□Yes □No □NA	
2.	Has input from seafarers or their proxies been solicited?	□Yes □No □NA	
3.	Are the solutions proposed for the subject in agreement with existing instruments? (Identify instruments considered in comments section)	□Yes □No □NA	
4.	Have human element solutions been made as an alternative and/or in conjunction with technical solutions?	□Yes □No □NA	
5.	Has human element guidance on the application and/or implementation of the proposed solution been provided for the following:		
	Administrations?	□Yes □No □NA	
	Ship owners/managers?	□Yes □No □NA	
	• Seafarers?	□Yes □No □NA	
	• Surveyors?	□Yes □No □NA	
6.	At some point, before final adoption, has the solution been reviewed or considered	□Yes □No □NA	
	by a relevant IMO body with relevant human element expertise?		
7.	Does the solution address safeguards to avoid single person errors?	□Yes □No □NA	
8.	Does the solution address safeguards to avoid organizational errors?	QYes QNo QNA	
9.	If the proposal is to be directed at seafarers, is the information in a form that can be presented to and is easily understood by the seafarer?	□Yes □No □NA	
10.	Have human element experts been consulted in development of the solution?	□Yes □No □NA	
	. HUMAN ELEMENT: Has the proposal been assessed against each of the factors below?		
	CREWING. The number of qualified personnel required and available to safely	□Yes □No □NA	
	operate, maintain, support, and provide training for system.		
	PERSONNEL. The necessary knowledge, skills, abilities, and experience levels that are needed to properly perform job tasks.	□Yes □No □NA	
	TRAINING. The process and tools by which personnel acquire or improve the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to achieve desired job/task performance.	□Yes □No □NA	
	OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY. The management systems, programmes, procedures, policies, training, documentation, equipment, etc. to properly manage risks.	□Yes □No □NA	
	WORKING ENVIRONMENT. Conditions that are necessary to sustain the safety, health, and comfort of those on working on board, such as noise, vibration, lighting, climate, and other factors that affect crew endurance, fatigue, alertness and morale.	□Yes □No □NA	
	HUMAN SURVIVABILITY. System features that reduce the risk of illness, injury, or death in a catastrophic event such as fire, explosion, spill, collision, flooding, or intentional attack. The assessment should consider desired human performance in emergency situations for detection, response, evacuation, survival and rescue and the interface with emergency procedures, systems, facilities and equipment.	□Yes □No □NA	

	FACTORS ENGINEERING. Human-system interface to be consistent ysical, cognitive, and sensory abilities of the user population.	□Yes □No □NA
Comments:	 (1) Justification if answers are NO or Not Applicable. (2) Recommended human element assessment needed. (3) Key risk management strategies comments. (5) Supporting documentation. 	

-