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Importance of implementing the Cape Town Agreement

- Safety of Fishing Vessels
- Safety of Crew
- Protection of the Environment
- Protection of our rescue services
- Protection of Society – Food Security
Introduction to the 2012 Cape Town Agreement for the safety of fishing vessels
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Background

Fishing: one of the most dangerous occupations in the world

Annual global fatality rate: > 80 fatalities/100 000 fishers per year

1977 Torremolinos Convention
first-ever international treaty on fishing vessel safety

1993 Torremolinos Protocol

2012 Cape Town Agreement
## The 10 chapters of the Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. General Provisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction, watertight integrity and equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Stability and associated seaworthiness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Machinery and electrical installations and periodically unattended machinery spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Fire Protection, Fire Detection, Fire Extinction and Fire Fighting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Protection of the crew</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Life-saving appliances and arrangements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Emergency procedures, musters and drills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Radiocommunications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Shipborne navigational equipment and arrangements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Application: Vessel type**

- **Industrial fishing vessels** (mainly new vessels)
- **Does not apply to** vessels used:
  - for sport or recreation;
  - for processing;
  - for research and training; and
  - for fish carriers

... and not to small vessels!
Application: Vessel size

Fishing vessels ≥ 24 m

However, requirements concerning the following items do NOT apply to vessels < 45 m:

- Machinery
- Fire safety
- Life-saving appliances
- Radiocommunications

Directive 97/70/EC implements the provisions of the 1993 Torremolinos Protocol into EU law and fills in the gap for vessels between 24 and 45 m in length.
Basis for measurement: Gross tonnage/Length equivalents

The Administration may decide to use gross tonnage (GT) in place of vessel length (L) as the basis for measurement.

The following GT/Length equivalents apply:

- 300 GT is equivalent to 24 m
- 950 GT is equivalent to 45 m

For those States, the Agreement will not apply to vessels < 300 GT.
**Existing vessels & “progressive implementation”**

Application to existing vessels: **only chapters VII, VIII, IX and X**
and for vessels of the size shown below

The implementation period **starts from the time when the Agreement**
**enters into force for the flag State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Vessel size</th>
<th>Time to implement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chapter VII</strong></td>
<td>≥ 45 m (or ≥ 950 GT)</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-saving appliances (only handheld VHFs and radar transponders)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chapter VIII</strong></td>
<td>≥ 24 m (or ≥ 300 GT)</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chapter IX</strong></td>
<td>≥ 45 m (or ≥ 950 GT)</td>
<td>Up to 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiocommunications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chapter X</strong></td>
<td>≥ 24 m (or ≥ 300 GT)</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigational equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exemptions

Several options to exempt vessels from the requirements of the Agreement

Administration may, for example, exempt any vessel from any of the requirements, provided the vessel is not operating on the high seas
Benefits of entry-into-force: examples

- **Coastal States:** improved safety for their nationals, i.e. crew and fisheries observers, working on board foreign-flagged vessels, as well as for SAR services

- **Flag States:** international safety standards for the national fishing fleet

- **Port States:** improved safety of foreign-flag vessels entering their ports and increased likelihood that IUU fishing practices will be identified

- **Market States:** assurance to consumers that conditions for the people, catching their seafood, are safe and decent. EU as the world’s biggest seafood market is in a good position to ensure that imported seafood comes from safe vessels
How to calculate the number of fishing vessels to be sent to IMO

Entry into force criteria: **22 States + 3,600 fishing vessels ≥ 24 m**

Each State provides IMO with the number of their fishing vessel ≥24m.

Resolution MSC.364(92) provides a procedure for calculating this number.

If not available, IMO will request numbers from FAO Compliance Agreement database.

If also not available, IMO will obtain information from international maritime databases, such as IHSM and GISIS.
How to calculate the number of fishing vessels to be sent to IMO

Is there a simple way for the State to calculate the number to be declared?

As a minimum, the number of existing vessels ≥24m, with an IMO Number

with the understanding that such vessels are fit, from a safety perspective, to operate on the high seas

If not available, States are encouraged to contact GISIS@imo.org, and IMO will consult international maritime databases, and calculate the number of fishing vessels according to established practices

All seagoing fishing vessels ≥24m, which are certified in accordance with Directive 97/70/EC, are fit, from a safety perspective, to operate in all waters and should, therefore, be counted in the total number to be communicated to IMO
## Phased implementation: summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>New vessels 2)</th>
<th>Application 3)</th>
<th>Existing vessels</th>
<th>Time to implement after entry into force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-45 m or 300-950 GT</td>
<td>≥45 m or ≥950 GT</td>
<td>24-45 m or 300-950 GT</td>
<td>≥45m or ≥950 GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I General</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Construction</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Stability</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Machinery</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Fire safety</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI Crew protection</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII Life-savings appliances</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII Emergency procedures</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX Radio-communications</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Navigational equipment</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Either GT or vessel length (L) is used as the basis for measurements for all chapters
2) A new vessel is a vessel built after the entry into force of the CTA
3) Apply only to hand-held VHFAs and radar transponders

In addition: a wide range of exemption options
Examples of CTA requirements relevant for fishing vessels operating in polar waters

**Chapter II – Construction:** Strengthened hull (Reg. II/1(2); and Freeing ports designed to restrict ice accretion (Reg. II/14(7))

**Chapter III – Stability:** Operating conditions (Reg. III/7(3)(b); and Ice accretion (Reg. III/8)

**Chapter V – Fire safety:** Fire mains to be designed to hinder frost damage (Reg. V/18(1)(c)); and Protection of means of escape against ice accretion (Reg. V/33(1)(b)(ii))

**Chapter VIII – Emergency procedures:** Training (Reg. VIII/4)

1993 SFV Conf. Guidance: 2: Ice accretion; 6 Freezing of fire mains

FV Safety Code, Appendix 10: Vessel’s endurance in conditions of ice formation

Polar Code, Part I-A, Chapter 9 – Safety of Navigation: New requirements?
Thank you!

Merci!

¡Gracias!

Спасибо
STATUS OF THE
2012 CAPE TOWN AGREEMENT

Polar Maritime Seminar
(1 November 2022)
Entry-into-force criteria: 15 ratifications, aggregating 14,000 fishing vessels of 24 m of length and over

Ratifications: 15

No. of fishing vessels > 24 m: 17

Source: Information provided by the States and by Lloyd’s Register/Fairplay
1993 Torremolinos Protocol

Ratifying States

- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Denmark
- France
- Germany
- Iceland
- Ireland
- Italy
- Kiribati
- Liberia
- Lithuania
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Spain
- Sweden
Entry-into-force criteria: 22 ratifications, aggregating 3,600 fishing vessels of 24 m and over operating on the high seas

Ratifications 22

No. of fishing vessels > 24 m 17

Source: Information provided by the States and by Lloyd’s Register/Fairplay

IMO

INTERNATIONAL
MARITIME
ORGANIZATION

2012 Cape Town Agreement

%77

3,600

%53

1,925
Ratifying States

- Belgium
- Cook Islands
- Congo
- Croatia
- Denmark *
- Finland
- France *
- Germany *
- Iceland *
- Kenya
- Netherlands *
- Norway *
- Peru
- Saint Kitts and Nevis*
- Sao Tome Principe
- South Africa
- Spain*

* Also Contracting States to the 1993 Torremolinos Protocol
Argentina, Bangladesh, Belgium, Belize, Bulgaria, Central African Republic, Chile, China, Congo (Republic of), Cook Islands, Costa Rica, Croatia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Denmark, Ecuador, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Ghana, Guinea (Republic of), Guinea Bissau, Iceland, Indonesia, Ireland, Kiribati, Lebanon, Liberia, Marshall Islands, Mozambique, Namibia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Sao Tome and Principe, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Spain, Togo, Uganda, United Kingdom, Vanuatu

* Also Contracting States to the 1993 Torremolinos Protocol
Looking ahead…


- To further encourage ratification of the Agreement, the IMO Assembly (A 29) adopted resolution A.1107(29).

- A 31 requested the Secretary General to monitor the ratification and identify capacity-building needs.

- A.1161(32) on the Entry into force and implementation of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement.

- Draft implementation guidelines submitted to III 8 (III 8/17/1) and MSC 106 will discuss a new output.
Looking ahead…

2012 Cape Town Agreement
(Explained)

FAQs on declaring the number of fishing vessels when ratifying the 2012 Cape Town Agreement

https://sway.office.com/pGZcJtkSuHNxDzy5
Guidelines for fishing vessels in Polar Waters (MSC.1/Circ.1641)

- Approved in May 2021
- Safety of fishing vessels operating in the polar regions
- Applies to fishing vessels of 24 m and above
- Aligns with the provisions of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement
Thank you for your attention
• Naval architect working in leading Spanish companies of the national and international shipbuilding sector.

• Maritime safety inspector and land transport inspector of the Spanish Administration, participating in different EU training programs and projects.

• Advisory member of the General Secretariat of Transport of the Spanish Ministry of transport.

• Representative of Spain in different PAME – ARCTIC COUNCIL fora.

• Representative of Spain in EQUASIS.

• Since 2018, maritime affairs Attaché to the IMO. Embassy of Spain in London.
The Polar Maritime Seminar, Co-sponsored by IMO and The Nautical Institute

The purpose of the Seminar is to provide an update on Polar shipping developments, including vessel activity trends, regulations and governance, Polar navigational safety, training, Arctic Indigenous Peoples' perspectives, and insurance and inspections.

Panel 10: Fishing Vessel Safety

- **Moderator:** Mr. Michael Kingston, Consultant, IMO
- **Speaker:** Andrés Galván, Maritime Affairs Attaché of Spain to IMO
“Relevant projects in progress to promote and accelerate the ratification of the Cape Town Agreement”

The total number of fishing vessels in the world is estimated at around 4.6 million. Most of these are small vessels. Some 64,000 fishing vessels of 24 meters in length and over operate in marine waters.
FISHING VESSELS IN ARCTIC CONTEXT

- 25% INCREASE IN FISHING VESSELS IN THE ARCTIC (2013-2020)
- FISHING VESSELS ARE BY FAR MOST FREQUENT VESSEL TYPE IN THE ARCTIC OVER 40% OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF OTHER KIND OF VESSELS
- FISHING VESSELS IS THE MOST FREQUENT ACCIDENT VESSEL TYPE IN THE ARCTIC
- THERE IS NO A COMPLETE BINDING INTERNATIONAL REGULATION IN FORCE
NEW ANALYSIS

- Map of all fishing vessels in the Arctic in 2021
- Produced by GRID-Arendal for PAME
- Will be used in the CTA work and other PAME work, including on marine litter with regards to abandoned and lost fishing gear (ALDFG)
25% INCREASE IN FISHING VESSELS IN THE ARCTIC FROM 2013 TO 2020

Unique Fishing Vessels in the Polar Code area: 2013-2020

PAME’s Arctic Ship Traffic Data (ASTD) project has been developed in response to a growing need to collect and distribute accurate, reliable, and up-to-date information on shipping activities in the Arctic.

Source: ASTD
85% Increase in Distance Sailed 2013 to 2020

Source: ASTD
FISHING VESSELS: DOMINANT IN THE ARCTIC

- Fishing vessels are over 40% of all ships in the Arctic
- Fishing vessels sail more nautical miles in the Arctic than any other ship type – 45%
ARCTIC SHIPPING ACCIDENTS
2005-2017 (Arctic States reported on their own Arctic Area)
Source: PAME CASA Project

OVER 2000 INCIDENTS

Fishing vessels is the most frequent accident vessel type in the Arctic
NO BINDING INTERNATIONAL REGULATION IN FORCE FOR FISHING VESSEL SAFETY!

THE 2012 CAPE TOWN AGREEMENT

- Fishing is one of the most dangerous professions in the world.
- CTA will improve safety of life at sea for fishers worldwide, including in Polar waters, as well as having other benefits.
FISHING REGULATORY FRAME.

The four pillars

- IMO's 2012 Cape Town Agreement (not yet in force)
- ILO's Work in Fishing Convention 2007 (Convention No. 188) entered into force on 16 November 2017. It sets minimum requirements for work on board including hours of rest, food, minimum age and repatriation.
- FAO's Agreement on Port State Measures to Prevent, Deter and Eliminate Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing (PSMA), 2009, which entered into force in 2016. It seeks to prevent, deter and eliminate IUU fishing through the adoption and implementation of effective port State measures.
TIME TO ACT!

11 October 2022 (tenth anniversary of the adoption of the Agreement).

2012 Cape Town Agreement
SAFE, SUSTAINABLE & LEGAL FISHING

10 reasons to ratify the 2012 Cape Town Agreement.

TIME TO ACT

In 2019, more than 50 States committed to ratify the 2012 Cape Town Agreement by 11 October 2022.
Now is the time to act!
The treaty will enter into force 12 months after at least 22 States, with an aggregate 3,600 fishing vessels of 24 m in length and over operating on the high seas have expressed their consent to be bound by it.
Ongoing international projects:

- PAME’s CAPE TOWN AGREEMENT PROJECT
- Group of interesting parties drafting guidance to assist competent authorities in the implementation of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement, set up at MSC102.
- IMO Webinars
PAME CAPE TOWN AGREEMENT PROJECT

Raising awareness in the Arctic Council of the provisions of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement for the safety of fishing vessels and the experience gained in the implementation process by Arctic States and other nations, recognizing the importance of fishing vessel safety in the Arctic due to the increased traffic of fishing vessels in the region.

Leads: IMO, Iceland, Spain
WHAT IS PAME?

- PAME is one of six Arctic Council working groups.
- PAME is the focal point of the Arctic Council’s activities related to the protection and sustainable use of the Arctic marine environment and provides a unique forum for collaboration on a wide range of activities in this regard.

SPAIN COLLABORATES WITH PAME AS OBSERVER OF THE ARCTIC COUNCIL
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMSA recommendation I(A): Linking with International Organizations</th>
<th>AMSA I(B) recommendation: IMO measures for Arctic shipping</th>
<th>AMSA recommendation I (C): Uniformity of Arctic Shipping Governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMO is a co-lead of the project and an Arctic Council Observer. The project also has linkages to other International organizations of relevance, such as FAO.</td>
<td>That the Arctic states, in recognition of the unique environmental and navigational conditions in the Arctic, decide to continue to cooperatively support efforts at the IMO to strengthen, harmonize and regularly update international standards for vessels operating in the Arctic. These efforts include: Support the updating and augmenting of global IMO ship safety and pollution prevention instruments with specific mandatory requirements or other provisions for ship construction, design, equipment, communications, crewing, training and operations, aimed at safety and environmental protection; develop consensus recommendations at the regional level to support global measures adopted by IMO; and report periodically regarding such efforts.</td>
<td>Where the Arctic states “should encourage broad subscription to IMO instruments and their uniform implementation in particular as they relate to safe, secure and environmentally sound and sustainable Arctic shipping.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PAME PROJECT ACTIVITIES

- Develop a Summary Report that highlights the provisions of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement for the Safety of Fishing Vessels, and the experience gained in the implementation process by Arctic States and others, as relevant, recognizing the importance of fishing vessel safety in the Arctic due to the increased traffic of fishing vessels in the region;

- Develop an Arctic Shipping Status Report (ASSR) on fishing vessel activities in the Arctic with its project co-leads; and

- Convene online workshops for PAME Members to facilitate the progress of the project.

The project is ongoing and not on pause.
Arctic State and Observer State regulatory analysis included in Summary Report

- Information on challenges Arctic States or Observer States may have had in ratifying the Agreement;
- Information on national legislation that may be considered to cover wholly or partially the Agreement; and
- An overview of such challenges and national legislative information with suggestions for a way forward.
MATRIX DEVELOPMENT

- Matrix listing CTA's requirements and how each Arctic State that has ratified the CTA is implementing each CTA requirement, including specifying any reservations taken when the CTA was ratified.

- For those Arctic States that haven't implemented the CTA, a similar matrix should be developed that shows the extent to which they have similar legal requirements in place.
PAME / Arctic Council LINKAGES

- Utilize data from ASTD;
- Seek to develop an ASSR report;
- Have potential linkages to:
  - PAME project on the Implementation of the Polar Code;
  - The Arctic Shipping Best Practice Information Forum;
  - PAME’s work on marine litter in the Arctic;
  - PAME project; Fishing Practice & Gear Inventory: Enhancing Understanding of Abandoned Lost or otherwise Discarded Fishing Gear (ALDFG); and
  - EPPR’s work on search and rescue.
Ratification by ARCTIC STATES and Arctic Council OBSERVERS

| ARCTIC STATES RATIFIED CTA | ARCTIC COUNCIL OBSERVERS RATIFIED CTA | SIGNED TORREMOLINOS DECLARATION  
(publicly indicating their determination to take action to bring the Agreement into force by the target date of 11 October 2022 ) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Phased implementation: summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>New vessels</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Existing vessels</th>
<th>Time to implement after entry into force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-45 m</td>
<td>≥45 m</td>
<td>24-45 m</td>
<td>≥45 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or 300-950 GT</td>
<td>or 2950 GT</td>
<td>or 300-950 GT</td>
<td>or 2950 GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire safety</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew protection</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-saving appliances</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>≤5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency procedures</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>≤5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-communications</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>≤10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigational equipment</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>≤5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Either GT or vessel length (L) is used as the basis for measurements for all chapters
2) A new vessel is a vessel built after the entry into force of the CTA
3) Apply only to hand-held VHFs and radar transponders

In addition: a wide range of exemption options

---
SUMMARY OF BENEFITS OF 2012 CAPE TOWN AGREEMENT FOR ARCTIC STATES

- Protection of Arctic State rescue services
- Saving lives in the fishing industry and improve working conditions;
- Help create internationally-binding safety standards applicable to foreign registered fishing vessels, giving ‘Port State Control’ ability to binding States to check fishing vessels for safety measures to prevent incidents in Arctic waters.
- When in force, the Agreement is also expected to help deter illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing by using ‘Port State Control’ abilities to carry out IUU fishing inspections in conjunction with 2012 Cape Town Agreement inspections.
- Help reduce the abundance of slavery on fishing vessels and other illegal activity;
- Help reduce plastic waste from fishing vessels ending up in Arctic waters, through implementation of the safety measures.
CORRESPONDANCE GROUP

- Participation is open to all PAME Members;
- Regular teleconference meetings;
- Coordination with the ASSR project, to develop a report on fishing vessel activities in the Arctic;
- Coordinate with PAME Secretariat and the IMO Secretariat to avoid duplication;
- Convene a workshop to progress the work of the Group;
- Collect all relevant material for the project on the PAME website; and
- Prepare a summary report for welcome by SAOs.
INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITY IN IMO: INFORMAL GROUP TO ASSIST WITH IMPLEMENTATION

- An informal group of interested parties to develop draft guidance to assist competent authorities in the implementation of the 2012 Cape Town Agreement, set up at MSC102.
- Coordinator: Víctor Jiménez Fernández (Spain):
  - vjfernandez@mitma.es
- Platform for the informal group:
  - www.icetra.is/cta

### Working Group Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>International Transport Federation (ITF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>International Labor Organization (ILO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>PEW Charitable Trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>World Maritime University (WMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**IMO WEBINAR SERIES**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>23-24 November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>23-24 February 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Middle East, North Africa and Mediterranean</td>
<td>13-14 April 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Europe, Eastern European and Western Asia</td>
<td>21-22 June 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>22-23 July 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>19-20 October 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you very much for your attention!
Sverrir Konradsson
Icelandic Transport Authority

- Maritime Specialist
- Represents Iceland at IMO
- Represents Iceland at European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA).
- Represents Iceland at the Arctic Council’s Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment Working Group (PAME)
- Former Chairman of the Arctic Council’s Arctic Shipping Best Practice Information Forum
- 10 years’ seagoing service time on board Icelandic and Danish merchant ships in international trade, as deckhand, boatswain, second, first and chief officer
Importance of Fisheries to Iceland

- Fisheries important pillar for economy
- Top 20 on the list of world catches
- Total annual catch over 1 million tonnes
- Marine products 40% of total exports
- 2500 registered ships
- 7,000 fishermen registered on Icelandic Fishing vessels
High Death Toll in Fishing

• Many lives are lost each year world-wide in fishing operations
• We need to respond to the safety crisis in the fishing industry around the world
• Statistics on casualties not always available
• Thousands of fishers perish each year
Reduction in fatalities in Iceland in recent decades

• Before, many seamen lost their lives annually
• Ships sank and whole crews were lost
• Often 30-60 or more fatalities at sea annually
• Are fatalities at sea unavoidable -- collateral damage?
• We said no of course
• Drastic approach was needed
• Number of fatalities decreased steadily in recent decades
Record of Accomplishment


• Last five years without fatalities at sea
How have Iceland managed to lower the number of fatalities?

- Building up strong safety culture based on internationally agreed regulations
- Rules based on internationally adopted laws to enhance maritime safety
- Participation in IMO’s work developing safety requirements
- Iceland ratified the Cape Town Agreement in 2013
How have Iceland managed to lower the number of fatalities?

- Basic safety and survival training mandatory for all professional seamen
- Refresher training at 5-year intervals
- Awareness-raising campaigns
- Intense safety training in the last 35 years has changed fishermen’s attitude towards safety
- Risk assessment to reduce working accidents on board vessels
- Safety culture has been promoted widely
How have Iceland managed to lower the number of fatalities?

- Vessels safer than before
- Stability-campaigns, control of stability, better catch stowage – heeling tests
- Automatic identification system (AIS) mandatory for all vessels ≥6m in commercial use
- Continuous AIS monitoring all vessel traffic by Vessel Traffic Service
How have Iceland managed to lower the number of fatalities?

- Mandatory registration of crew on all vessels in commercial use
- Mandatory annual surveys all ships
- Seaworthiness certificate valid for one year
- Propaganda aimed at all concerned, seamen, masters, shipowners, families
- Coast Guard very efficient life saver
How have Iceland managed to lower the number of fatalities?

1. Reliable weather forecasts
   - Weather and sea-state information system
   - Inflatable life rafts mandatory on board all vessels
   - Immersion suits for all crew members mandatory
   - Automatic release mechanism for inflatable life rafts mandatory
   - Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons (EPIRBs) have saved many lives
We are all in this together – Mutual responsibilities

We have a responsibility to protect our rescue services from being called out unnecessarily, hundreds of miles, to vessels that are sub-standard, because we have not exercised our influence and international responsibility, as well as an obligation to try and reduce the alarming number of fishing vessel deaths each year around the world.
Questions from the Audience

Importance of implementing the Cape Town Agreement

- Safety of Fishing Vessels
- Safety of Crew
- Protection of the Environment
- Protection of our rescue services
- Protection of Society – Food Security
Together we will always make a difference

www.imo.org

Thank you for your attention